

Message

From: Strynar, Mark [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=5A9910D5B38E471497BD875FD329A20A-STRYNAR, MARK]
Sent: 10/13/2017 7:21:01 PM
To: Buckley, Timothy [Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov]; Lindstrom, Andrew [Lindstrom.Andrew@epa.gov]
CC: Medina-Vera, Myriam [Medina-Vera.Myriam@epa.gov]; Biales, Adam [Biales.Adam@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Update
Attachments: 10-5-17 Chemours site visit samples.xlsx

Here is the original Excel data spreadsheet.

Mark

From: Buckley, Timothy
Sent: Friday, October 13, 2017 2:08 PM
To: Strynar, Mark <Strynar.Mark@epa.gov>; Lindstrom, Andrew <Lindstrom.Andrew@epa.gov>
Cc: Medina-Vera, Myriam <Medina-Vera.Myriam@epa.gov>; Biales, Adam <Biales.Adam@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Update

Mark,

Do you think James would be able/willing to put this in spreadsheet format amenable to creating a Word table like we have previously used?

Tim

Table 3. PFAS Concentrations (ng/L)

n	Quantified Using Targeted Analysis											Quantified Using Non-Targeted Analysis				
	GenX	Legacy PFAS										PFMOAA	PFO2HxA	PFO3OA	PFESA BP1	PFESA BP2
		PFBA	PFPeA	PFHxA	PFHpA	PFOA	PFNA	PFDA	PFBS	PFHxS	PFOS					
7	-	-	21.7	18.4	10.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	34.1	307.0	-	-	-
7	81.7	30.0	30.0	22.5	14.1	9.6	-	-	-	-	-	574	57.8	31.6	-	3,640
L	307*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	327	78.6	10.6	-	68.0
L	19,100	162	224	19.5	36.7	60.9	-	-	-	-	-	23,500	5,090	1,630	-	6,060
L	17,100	161	251	18.8	39.7	63.3	-	-	-	-	-	26,500	4,680	1,440	-	5,270
L	26,700*	161	251	18.8	39.7	63.3	-	-	-	-	-	24,900	5,210	1,300	-	8,080
L	21,500	165	247	21.3	38.1	59.2	-	-	-	-	-	26,900	5,750	1,500	-	6,440
2	5,990	56.8	232	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44,300	3,250	1,190	-	281
3	6,130	130	601*	-	11.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	108,000	5,420	1,380	-	168
4	9,720	328*	1,030	22.6	43.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	83,000	5,550	1,320	14.1	1,590
5	41,000*	385*	1,860	85.7	407*	-	-	-	-	-	-	285,000	21,200	13,500	-	3,810
6	6,540	105	239	14.3	33.8	70.7	19.7	-	-	-	-	24,400	1,680	590	-	6,860
1	1,520	11.1	20.3	-	-	10.5	-	-	-	-	-	1,500	242	119	-	635

	13,700	59.7	226	74.3	126	1,960	-	-	-	-	-	93,600	4,660	1,590	78.5	1,510
	22,700	447*	1,400	96.6	186	2,100	-	-	-	-	-	738,000	38,600	13,300	20,600	12,300
9	12,800	419*	273*	62.2	39.2	38.8	-	-	-	-	-	12,600	-	399	24,500	2,460
0	10.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	283	-	-	-	-
1	2,580	24.8	26.0	-	-	23.9	-	-	-	-	-	5,650	330	-	-	389
2	767	16.4	20.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,670	206	-	-	-

Flag Codes:

dash "-" = concentration below limit of detection of 10 ng/L

1 = Samples Diluted 25X

2 = Samples Diluted 50X

3 = Samples Diluted 100X

* = analyte outside range of calibration curve after dilution

Timothy J. Buckley, PhD

Director of the Exposure Methods & Measurements Division

National Exposure Research Laboratory

109 TW Alexander Drive

Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

Email: buckley.timothy@epa.gov

URL: <http://www.epa.gov/heasd/staff/buckley.html>

Phone: (919) 541-2454 (O); FAX: -0239

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) (C)

From: Strynar, Mark

Sent: Thursday, October 12, 2017 10:08 AM

To: Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>; Lindstrom, Andrew <Lindstrom.Andrew@epa.gov>

Cc: Medina-Vera, Myriam <Medina-Vera.Myriam@epa.gov>; Biales, Adam <Biales.Adam@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Update

Andy,

Please take a look at these when you get a chance. I ran these on the TOFMS to get GenX and the Nafion BP1, BP2 and the related PFECAS in one run rather than running the MS/MS and then TOFMS. In general the std curve is good from 25-1000 ng/L. The QCs (blank is blank, and the 250 and 1000 ng/L seem good). I did not calculate the replicate precision, however we had three samples replicate analyzed (Huske L&D, Chemours Old Waste Tributary, Chemours 001 discharge). They all seem like <20% for GenX analysis.

For 5 samples GenX was above the calibration curve (shown in red bold). Three samples exceeded the curve by 8-9x. The high QC exceeded the calibration curve similar to the two samples around 1,200 ng/L. None of these samples were reanalyzed with dilution. Concentrations above the calibration curve should be considered estimates for GenX.

For the other analytes (Nafion BP1, BP2, and the related compounds to GenX) estimations of concentrations were done by comparing the area ratio of the analyte to GenX and estimating concentration by multiplying by the measured GenX concentrations. This is how we did this estimation in past assays.

Give this a look and let me know what you see as soon as you can.

Thanks,
Mark

From: Buckley, Timothy
Sent: Thursday, October 12, 2017 8:28 AM
To: Strynar, Mark <Strynar.Mark@epa.gov>; Lindstrom, Andrew <Lindstrom.Andrew@epa.gov>
Cc: Medina-Vera, Myriam <Medina-Vera.Myriam@epa.gov>; Biales, Adam <Biales.Adam@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Update

Where are we with these data?

Tim

Timothy J. Buckley, PhD
Director of the Exposure Methods & Measurements Division
National Exposure Research Laboratory
109 TW Alexander Drive
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

Email: buckley.timothy@epa.gov
URL: <http://www.epa.gov/heasd/staff/buckley.html>
Phone: (919) 541-2454 (O); FAX: -0239
Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) (C)

From: Culpepper, Linda [<mailto:linda.culpepper@ncdenr.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 10, 2017 1:56 PM
To: Buckley, Timothy <Buckley.Timothy@epa.gov>
Subject: Update

Hi Tim – any idea of when we would receive the data from the Chemours process area samples?

Thank you.

Linda Culpepper
Deputy Director
Division of Water Resources
North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality

1611 Mail Service Center
Phone: 919-707-9014

*Email correspondence to and from this address is subject to the
North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.*